

1/111

British Medical Journal Volume-2, No 1 10.5281/zenodo.6471619 British Medical Journal Volume 2, No 1., 2022 Internet address: http://ejournals.id/index.php/bmj E-mail: info@ejournals.id Published by British Medical Journal Issued Bimonthly 3 knoll drive. London. N14 5LU United Kingdom +44 7542 987055 Chief Editor Dr. Fiona Egea

Requirements for the authors.

The manuscript authors must provide reliable results of the work done, as well as an objective judgment on the significance of the study. The data underlying the work should be presented accurately, without errors. The work should contain enough details and bibliographic references for possible reproduction. False or knowingly erroneous statements are perceived as unethical behavior and unacceptable. Authors should make sure that the original work is submitted and, if other authors' works or claims are used, provide appropriate bibliographic references or citations. Plagiarism can exist in many forms - from representing someone else's work as comvight to conving or paraphrasing significant parts of another's

representing someone else's work as copyright to copying or paraphrasing significant parts of another's work without attribution, as well as claiming one's rights to the results of another's research. Plagiarism in all forms constitutes unethical acts and is unacceptable. Responsibility for plagiarism is entirely on the shoulders of the authors.

Significant errors in published works. If the author detects significant errors or inaccuracies in the publication, the author must inform the editor of the journal or the publisher about this and interact with them in order to remove the publication as soon as possible or correct errors. If the editor or publisher has received information from a third party that the publication contains significant errors, the author must withdraw the work or correct the errors as soon as possible.

OPEN ACCESS Copyright © 2022 by British Medical Journal **British Medical Journal** Volume-2, No 1

A NEW METHOD OF DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF CHRONIC RECURRENT RHINOSINUSITIS

¹Khushvakova N.Zh., ¹Bakiev Sh.Sh., ²Makhmudova L.I., ²Sulaymonov Zh.O. ¹Samarkand State Medical University, Samarkand, Uzbekistan ²Bukhara State Medical Institute, Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Abstract. Chronic sinusitis is a chronic inflammation of the mucous membrane of the nasal cavities. The study was conducted in the otolaryngology department and 110 patients treated with chronic recurrent rhinosinusitis in stationary conditions for 2021 years were selected. The average age of patients: up to 17-70 years. During the examination, all patients were divided into 3 groups depending on the degree of remission of the disease: I group – mild remission (n=46), II – moderate severity (n=33), III – severe remission (n=31). For the purpose of CRRS treatment, patients were divided into two groups: group I patients were treated only with standard therapy, group II patients were treated with a drug of definite and pomegranate seed oil (to drink 6 drops of pomegranate seed oil per day) in addition to standard therapy.

Keywords: chronic rhinosinusitis, cytokines, interleukin, derinate.

Introduction. Rhinosinusitis - inflammation of the nasal mucosa and paranasal sinuses, characterized by two or more signs, one of which is obstruction of the nasal cavity or the appearance of a discharge from the nose, may be accompanied by the following signs: headache, pressure or pain in the face, decrease or loss of smell, the appearance of signs of intoxication [1-4]. According to European guidelines (EPOS 2012; ICAR: RS, 2016), rhinosinusitis is diagnosed by two or more signs and objective data: endoscopic signs (presence of mucopurulent discharge in the nasal passage, swelling of the mucous membrane of the middle nasal passage) and magnetic resonance imaging (changes mucosa of the otimeathal complex and/or adjacent nasal cavities). Because,

Currently, much attention is paid to the structures that control the immune system. The ratio of CD4+ and CD8+ T-lymphocytes in peripheral blood is called the "immunomodulatory index". Depending on the disease, the value of this indicator changes upward or downward [5-8].

Determining the severity of the course of rhinosinusitis is also very important. One way to determine the severity of the course of the disease is a visual analogue scale (VAS). In accordance with the procedure for this method, patients will be assisted and asked to set their symptoms on a scale of 0 to 10 points [9-19], mild severity is considered when patients score from 0 to 3 points, moderate severity is from 3 to 7 points, and moderate severity is from 7 to 10 points. The severity of rhinosinusitis can also be determined by clinical signs. The absence of temperature in mild rhinosinusitis, mild nasal discharge, nasal congestion, cough have very little effect on the quality of life in patients there is no pain in the area of the adjacent nasal cavities and no complications are observed. The following symptoms correspond to the moderate severity of the disease: body temperature not higher than 38 ° C, severe nasal congestion, runny nose and cough with nasal discharge, which clearly affect the quality of life of patients, a feeling of heaviness in the area adjacent to the nose of the

cavities is formed by nodding or tilting the head, complications are observed in the middle ear. In a severe degree of the disease, the following signs are revealed: an increase in body temperature above $38 \,^{\circ}$ C, pronounced painful symptoms of rhinosinusitis, which clearly affect the quality of life of patients (nasal congestion, nasal discharge, cough), constant pain in the area of the nasal adjacent cavities, aggravated by nodding, tilting and percussion of the head,

Purpose of the study. In chronic recurrent rhinosinusitis, the severity of the course of the disease is determined and new methods of treatment are recommended.

Material and research methods. The study was conducted in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology of the Bukhara Regional Multidisciplinary Medical Center and 110 patients with chronic recurrent rhinosinusitis (CRRS) were selected, who underwent inpatient treatment during 2021. Average age of patients: 17-70 years.

Clinical studies of all patients, including the collection of complaints and anamnesis, general examination, palpation and percussion of the paranasal sinuses, laboratory (general blood count, biochemical blood test, immunogram: serum immunoglobulins (IgM, IgG, IgA, IgE), interleukins (IL-1 β , IL-4, IL-6 IL-8, IL-10, TNFa, IFN), from instrumental methods - rhinoscopy and endoscopic rhinoscopy of the nasal cavities (Stema - 0°,30°,45°,70° angled rigid endoscopes were used), a visual analogue scale (VAS) to determine the intensity of pain.

During the examination, all patients were divided into 3 groups depending on the degree of the course of the disease: group I - mild severity (n=46), II - moderate severity (n=33), III - severe severity (n=31).

Research results. When separating patients according to the severity of the disease, the method of randomized differentiation was used. When using this method, it will be necessary to take into account the features that affect the results of the check, and take into account the even distribution of these features among the people being checked. These signs include: increased or decreased body temperature, the degree of development of sinusitis (nasal congestion, the appearance of nasal discharge), the absence of local pain in the region of the lateral nasal cavities, the absence of a systemic inflammatory process, depending on laboratory indications (leukocytosis, increased ESR, increased CRP), assessment of the quality of life of patients according to VAS (Table 1).

Table 1

	Distribution of patients by chincal groups				
	I group	II group	III group	Control	
	(n=46)	(n=33)	(n=31)	group	
				(n=20)	
Body temperature	36,5±0,08	37,7±0,06	38,4±0,05	36,6±0,01	
p-compared with group I		p<0,001	p<0,0001		
p-compared with the II			p<0,001		
group					
p-compared with the	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001		
control group					
Many differences of	p<0,001				
Difficulty breathing	++	+++	+++	-	
through the nose					

Distribution of patients by clinical groups

British Medical Journal Volume-2, No 1

Screen flow through the	+	++	+++	-	
nose					
Local pain	-	++	+++	-	
Number of leukocytes,	7,1±0,09	10,5±0,089	13,3±0,07	5,9±0,12	
10 ⁹ /l					
p-compared with group I		p<0,001	p<0,0001		
p-compared with the II			p<0,001		
group					
p-compared with the	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001		
control group					
Many differences of		p<0	,001		
ESR	8,8±1,03	10,9±2,03	23,8±3,6	6,5±0,7	
p-compared with group I		p<0,001	p<0,0001		
compared with the p-II			p<0,001		
group					
p-compared with the	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001		
control group					
Many differences of		p<0	,001		
SRP	25±3,6	34±2,7	62,2±5,6	7,2±2,0	
p-compared with group I		p<0,001	p<0,0001		
p-compared with the II			p<0,001		
group					
p-compared with the	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001		
control group					
Many differences of	p<0,001				
VAS	1,8±0,5	5,9±0,9	8,7±0,6	0	
p-compared with group I		p<0,001	p<0,0001		
p-compared with the II			p<0,001		
group					
p-compared with the	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001		
control group					
Many differences of	p<0,001				

A mild course of the disease was detected in 46 patients. The mean age of the patients was 17-65 years. Sinusitis of the maxillary cavity was detected in 40 patients, frontal sinusitis in 3 patients, sphenoiditis in 1 patient, mixed sinusitis in 2 patients. The average severity of the disease was detected in 33 patients, whose average age was 18-70 years. Sinusitis of the maxillary cavity was detected in 19 patients, frontal sinusitis in 5 patients, sphenoiditis in 1 patient, aralgic sinusitis in 8 patients. Severe disease was detected in 31 patients, and the average age of patients is 17-69 years. Sinusitis of the maxillary cavity was detected in 9 patients is 17-69 years. Sinusitis of the maxillary cavity was detected in 9 patients, frontal sinusitis in 3 patients, sphenoiditis in 1 patients, frontal sinusitis in 3 patients, sphenoiditis in 3 patients, mixed sinusitis in 16 patients.

IL-1 β causes and controls the inflammatory process, while IL-10 is its antagonist. The ratio of inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines in serum provides information about systemic inflammatory activity. Inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and anti-inflammatory IL-10 determine the degree of expression of the inflammatory process. We studied these indicators depending on the severity of rhinosinusitis (table 2).

Chronic recurrent rhinosinusitis results before cytokine treatment by severity (M + m)

Sitokinlar	I group (n=46)	II group (n=33)	III group (n=31)	Control group (n=20)	
Cytokines	14.6±3.75	33.1±4.6	62.11±7.2	4.05±0.3	
IL-1β		p<0.001	p<0.0001		
p-compared with group I		1	p<0.001		
p-compared with the group II	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001		
p-compared with the control	p<0.001				
group		-			
Comparison of many	3.04±1.39	17.12±2.41	13.02±1.31	1.65±0.2	
IL-4		p<0.001	p<0.001		
p-compared with group I			p<0.01		
p-compared with the group II	p<0.05	p<0.001	p<0.001		
p-compared with the control group	p<0.1				
Comparison of many	24.81±2.29	19.28±1.8	15.96±6.37	6.87±0.5	
IL-6		p<0.01	p<0.001		
p-compared with group I		1	p<0.001		
p-compared with the group II	p<0.05	p<0.001	p<0.001		
p-compared with the control	p<0.1				
Comparison of many	20 27±2 4	17.6±2.58	13.1 ± 2.50	7 16±1 7	
IL-8		p<0.01	p<0.001	/.10-1./	
p-compared with group I		p 10101	p<0.001		
p-compared with the group II	p<0.05	p<0.01	p<0.001		
p-compared with the control	n<01				
group		P			
Comparison of many	20.21±4.23	14.32±4.26	9.18±2.31	3.11±0.4	
IL-10		p<0.001	p<0.001		
p-compared with group I		1	p<0.001		
p-compared with the group II	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001		
p-compared with the control	p<0.001				
group					
Comparison of many	17.69±1.18	13.01±2.46	8.98±0.7	4.45±0.6	
γΙΝΤ		p<0.01	p<0.05		
p-compared with group I			p<0.01		
p-compared with the group II	p<0.05	p<0.01	p<0.05		
p-compared with the control		p<	0.5		
group		-			

In the first group, a significant increase in the amount of anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 was found compared to II, III and control groups (p<0.001). It was found that the number of inflammation-inducing cytokines IL-1 β was significantly reduced (p<0.001) compared with II, III and control groups. Accordingly, the inflammatory reaction also corresponds to a mild degree.

In patients of the second group, the same increase in cytokines IL-10 and IL-1 β was found compared with groups I, III and control (p<0.001). In patients of the second group, clinical signs appear in accordance with the inflammatory response, which corresponds to the moderate degree of the disease.

In patients of the third group, a clear increase in inflammation-inducing cytokines IL-1 β (p<0.001) was found, which, in turn, induce and control the immune response and activate the systemic inflammatory response. It was noted that the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 decreased more pronounced than other groups (p<0.001), indicating the activity of the inflammatory process.

In order to treat CRRS, patients were divided into two groups: patients of group I were treated only with standard therapy, and patients of group II were treated with derinate and pomegranate seed oil in addition to standard therapy (drink 6 drops of pomegranate seed oil per day). In order to normalize the level of inflammation-causing and anti-inflammatory cytokines in patients, derinate and pomegranate seed oil were used (table 3).

+m)

Cytokines	I group	II group	III group	Control
	(before	(after	(standard	group
	treatment,	standard	treatment+derinate+pomegranate	(n=20)
	n=110)	treatment,	seed oil, n=55)	
		n=55)		
IL-1β	36,6±3,81	26,1±4,9	17,11±7,5	4,05±0,3
p-compared with		p<0,001	p<0,0001	
group I		_	_	
p-compared with			p<0,001	
the group II				
p-compared with	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001	
the control group				
Comparison of	p<0,001			
many				
IL-4	11,04±1,42	9,12±2,5	7,02±1,28	1,65±0,2
p-compared with		p<0,001	p<0,0001	
group I				
p-compared with			p<0,001	
the group II				
p-compared with	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001	
the control group				
Comparison of	p<0,001			
many				
IL-6	15,18±2,3	20,28±1,9	38,96±6,4	6,87±0,5
p-compared with		p<0,001	p<0,0001	
group I				
p-compared with			p<0,001	
the group II				
p-compared with	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001	
the control group				

Outcomes after cytokine treatment in chronic recurrent rhinosinusitis (M

Table 3

British Medical Journal Volume-2, No 1

10.5201/201000.04/1	017			
Comparison of			p<0,001	
many				
IL-8	13,11±2,5	19,6±2,61	28,1±2,53	7,16±1,7
p-compared with		p<0,001	p<0,0001	
group I				
p-compared with			p<0,001	
the group II				
p-compared with	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001	
the control group				
Comparison of	p<0,001			
many				
IL-10	30,13±4,18	19,32±4,31	11,18±2,26	3,11±0,4
p-compared with		p<0,001	p<0,0001	
group I				
p-compared with			p<0,001	
the group II				
p-compared with	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001	
the control group				
Comparison of			p<0,001	
many				
γΙΝΤ	22,08±1,2	17,01±2,5	13,98±0,74	4,45±0,6
p-compared with		p<0,001	p<0,0001	
group I				
p-compared with			p<0,001	
the group II				
p-compared with	p<0,001	p<0,001	p<0,001	
the control group				
Comparison of			p<0,001	
many				

While a decrease in the number of inflammatory cytokines IL-1ß and antiinflammatory cytokines IL-10 was found in patients with CRRS after standard therapy, a significant decrease in these indicators was found in the group of patients who received the recommended drug derinate and pomegranate seed oil in addition to standard therapy.

Conclusion. It was found that the determination of the number of cytokines in patients with CRRS and, accordingly, the choice of treatment method are of paramount importance. In order to normalize the level of cytokines IL-1 β and IL-10 in patients, derinate and pomegranate seed oil were recommended in combination with standard therapy. A repeated study to compare the amount of cytokines after the end of the course of treatment showed that, compared with standard therapy, in addition to derinate and pomegranate seed oil, a significant decrease in the content of cytokines was found in the recommended group. The effectiveness of the treatment method proposed above has been proven by reducing the days of inpatient treatment and reducing the number of hospitalizations of patients.

References

1. Lopatin A.S. Ostriy i xronicheskiy rinosinusit: prinsipi terapii /Varvyanskaya A.V// Meditsinskiy sovet. 2014. №3. P.24-27

2. Nikiforova G.N. Sefditoren v lechenii gnoyniy rinosinusitov / G.N.Nikoforova, V.M. Svistushkin, D.M.Pshonkina //Meditsinskiy sovet. - №16. – 2017. – P. 15-17

3. Makhmudova L.I., Shazhanova N.S., Akhmedova N.Sh., (2021). Clinical Features Of Irritable Intestinal Syndrome. The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research, 3(04), 154-159.

4. Abdullayev R.B., Makhmudova L.I., (2021). Assessment Of Clinical And Psychological Status And Quality Of Life Of Patients In Different Forms Of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research, 3(02), 127-134.

5. Khaitov R.M. Rukovodstvo po klinicheskoy immunologii. Diagnostika zabolevaniy immunoy sistemi: rukovodstvo dlya vrachey/ Pinegin B.V., Yarilin A.A. – M. : GEOTAR-Media, 2014. – 352 P.

6. Makhmudova L.I, Akhmedova N.Sh. Irritable bowel syndrome: a new look at the problem // Academicia. 10.5958/2249-7137.2020.00983.0. 433-38.

7. Abdullayev R. B., Makhmudova L.I. Features of Chemical Elements in Various Forms of Irritable Bowel Syndrome // Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 25, Issue 2, 2021, Pages. 2993 – 3000.

8. Abdullayev R.B., Makhmudova L.I. Micro elemental imbalance in irritable bowel syndrome and its correction. Academicia. Vol. 11, Issue 5, May 2021:655-662.

9. Fokkens W., Lund V., Mullol J. European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps Group European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. Rhinology 2012; 50; Suppl 23:1-329

10. Makhmudova L.I., Akhmedova N.Sh., Ergashov B.B. Clinical manifestation of irritable bowel syndrome. Art of medicine. International medical scientific journal. Vol. 1, Issue 2. 2021:24-33.

11. Makhmudova L.I., Ismatova M.N., Mukhamedjanova M.H., Sulaymonova G.A. Evaluation of microelement status and its correction with irritable bowel syndrome. New day in medicine. 2(34) 2021:325-331.

12. Safarova G.A. Indicators of kidney damage in type II diabetes mellitus in preclinical stages. // Infection, immunity and pharmacology №6/2021 ISSN 2181-5534 Pages 162-167

13. Safarova G.A. Features of the clinical course of covid-19 in comorbid conditions (A literature review) //New day in medicine 6 (38) 2021 ISSN 2181-712X. EISSN 2181-2187 pages 88-95

14. Shajanova Nigora Saidjanovna, Egamova Sitora Kobilovna , Umurova Nigora Mavlonovna. Metabolism in the organism in elderly persons with iron deficiency anemia // Journal of Biomedicine and Practice. 2020. Vol.2 Iss. 5

15. Shadjanova NS, Ismatova MN. Rasprostranyonnost i prichinnie faktori bronxialnoy astmi v buxarskogo oblasti // Aktualniye problemi gumanitarnix i yestestvennix nauk/ 2017. Tom 2 N_{2} 2

16. Shadjanova NS, Ismatova MN. Sostoyanie funktsii pochek i nekotorie pokazateli gemostaza u jenshin s legkoy preeklamsiey // Aktualniye problemi gumanitarnix i yestestvennix nauk 2018. Tom 11 № 2

17. Umurova N.M, Ismatova M.N. Clinical course and risk factors for the development of pollinosis. An international journal World Bulletin of social sciences. ISSN(E): 2749-361X Volume 2, 2021 Pages 56-58

18. Umurova N.M. Polinozning ekologik tomonlari Biologiya va tibbiyot muammolari 2021, №4 (129) 245-248.

19. Umurova N. M. Pollinozning klinik kechishiva xavf omillari. Doktor axborotnomasi № 4 (101) 150-152str—2021 DOI: 10.38095/2181-466X-20211014-150-152