BRITISH
MEDICAL JOURNAL

Requirements for the authors.

The manuscript authors must provide reliable results of the work done, as well as an objective judgment on the significance of the study. The data underlying the work should be presented accurately, without errors. The work should contain enough details and bibliographic references for possible reproduction. False or knowingly erroneous statements are perceived as unethical behavior and unacceptable.

Authors should make sure that the original work is submitted and, if other authors' works or claims are used, provide appropriate bibliographic references or citations. Plagiarism can exist in many forms - from representing someone else's work as copyright to copying or paraphrasing significant parts of another's work without attribution, as well as claiming one's rights to the results of another's research. Plagiarism in all forms constitutes unethical acts and is unacceptable. Responsibility for plagiarism is entirely on the shoulders of the authors.

Significant errors in published works. If the author detects significant errors or inaccuracies in the publication, the author must inform the editor of the journal or the publisher about this and interact with them in order to remove the publication as soon as possible or correct errors. If the editor or publisher has received information from a third party that the publication contains significant errors, the author must withdraw the work or correct the errors as soon as possible.

New understanding of the development of CE after stroke and traumatic brain injury literature review

Eshonov O.Sh.[1], Yarashev A.R.[2]
1- Bukhara State Medical Institute, Republic of Uzbekistan
2- Bukhara branch of Republican scientific emergency medical center

Abstract: In this literature review, primary attention is paid to the current understanding of the role of the glymphatic system (GS) in the development of brain edema (BE) in traumatic brain injury (TBI) and ischemic stroke. We discussed recent studies suggesting that glymphatic function is downregulated in brain pathologies and that glymphatic deficiency may contribute to BE in TBI and ischemic stroke. A new understanding of how behavior, genetic predisposition, and drugs affect HC function and how this function is decompensated in brain pathologies should lead to the developing of new preventive and diagnostic tools and new therapeutic targets.
Keywords: GS, astrocytes, ischemic stroke, traumatic brain injury, BE.

Currently, there is no complete picture of the conjugation of cerebral blood flow, liquor circulation and interstitial space in brain edema (BE). This review of the literature is devoted to the recently discovered system of purification in the brain - the GS in ischemic stroke and traumatic brain injury (TBI).

BE is a complication of many diseases, and not only neurological ones. BE is the leading mechanism of thanatogenesis in patients with severe cerebral and extracerebral processes in intensive care units. BE has been described since before our era, but so far we know little about this universal process. In the historical aspect, interest in BE either appeared or disappeared for many years and was renewed only with the appearance of new research methods. Currently, there is renewed interest in the problem of BE, this is due to the ability to see morphological changes using neuroimaging methods and to study the molecular genetic mechanisms of BE formation using various experimental models [2,8].

After damage to the central nervous system (CNS), for example, in stroke, trauma, intracranial volumetric lesions, inflammatory reactions, metabolic disorders, the blood-brain barrier (BBB) is destroyed, exudation and accumulation of water and macromolecular substances. In perivascular and interstitial cells. Brain tissue hypoxia, cell membrane dysfunction, or intracellular electrolyte and osmotic changes lead to intracellular edema in the injured brain. In an injured nervous system, obstruction of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) circulation pathway causes ventricular enlargement or periventricular leukoencephalopathy. Brain edema after stroke and TBI increases intracranial pressure (ICP), which in turn exacerbates BE,

An important step in understanding the significance of the accumulation of excess fluid in the cranial cavity was the work of the Scottish anatomist A. Monro Secundus (1733–1817). The author introduced the concept of intracranial pressure (ICP), which occurs as a result of the impact of the contents of the skull (brain substance, blood in arterial and venous vessels) on its rigid walls, and described the mechanism of cerebral hernia formation. In his work "Observations on the structure and functions of the nervous system". In 1824, the A. Monro hypothesis, after multiple tests, was supported by G. Kellie (1770–1829) and subsequently became known as the Monro–Kelly doctrine [1,11].

Clinical diagnosis and monitoring of BE remain an unresolved problem. Both the development and course of BE is an asymptomatic process. Only the addition of complications of BE in the form of ICH is accompanied by the development of cerebral and focal neurological symptoms as a result of compression and displacement of cerebral structures by edema, as well as the formation of secondary ischemia [1,13,27]. Currently, the method of choice for diagnosing BE is neuroimaging (computed tomography - CT and magnetic resonance imaging of the brain) [31,36,39,46]. One of the early markers of BE is a decrease in the volume of the sulci [29]. However, the limitations on the use of radiological methods and the discontinuity of the study do not allow monitoring of BE [39].

Developments are underway to isolate potential biochemical markers of BE from the blood. Endothelin-1, which is involved in the pathogenesis of brain damage in various diseases and contributes to an increase in BBB permeability, is considered as such a marker. Cellular fibronectin, one of the components of the basement membrane, and matrix metalloproteinase-9, a proteolytic enzyme that causes remodeling of the basement membrane and destruction of close junction proteins in the structure of the BBB, can be recognized as other indicators of the development of pronounced BE and hemorrhagic transformation [20,26,55].

The GS (GS) is one of the missing links for understanding the conjugated functioning of all components of the central nervous system (intracranial blood volume, cerebrospinal fluid, cell mass, interstitial space) in normal and pathological conditions. The name "glymphatic system" was coined by the Danish scientist M. Nedergaard in recognition of the dependence of GS on glial cells and the similarity of its functions with those of the peripheral lymphatic system [42].

The structure of the GS. According to a study conducted at the University of Rochester, subarachnoid CSF quickly enters the brain through the paravascular spaces surrounding the penetrating arteries and then exchanges with the surrounding ISF. In the same way, the ISF is cleared of metabolic products of the brain parenchyma through the paravascular spaces surrounding the large draining veins [42]. Where the PVR ends in the brain parenchyma, the CSF can continue to move along the basement membranes surrounding the arterial vascular smooth muscles to reach the basal plate surrounding the cerebral capillaries. perivascular spaces between the basement membrane, pericytes, astrocyte pedicels; system of aquaporin receptors of astrocytes; structures, producing and resorbing CSF; interstitial space of the brain; CSF circulation space [42,50].

GS performs a number of functions, the most important of which is currently considered "cleansing", that is, the removal of metabolic products, toxic substances from the central nervous system. Evaluation of GS activity after acute cerebrovascular accident or traumatic brain injury can determine whether its activity correlates with neurological recovery. A new understanding of how behavior, genetic predisposition, and drugs affect GS function and how this function is decompensated in various pathologies should lead to the development of new preventive and diagnostic tools and new therapeutic targets [4].

Astrocytes play an important role in the removal of metabolites. They express water channels called aquaporins. However, until recently, no physiological function has been identified to explain their presence in mammalian CNS astrocytes. Aquaporins are a family of proteins that consist of six membrane domains and have a molecular weight of 30 kDa. They selectively let water molecules through, allowing it to enter and leave the cell, while at the same time blocking the flow of ions and other soluble substances. Compared to simple diffusion, the presence of aquaporins in biological membranes contributes to an increase in water permeability by a factor of 3–10 [21, 58, 59, 63]. To perform a lymphatic function, the subarachnoid CSF must enter the brain and exchange contents with the ISF, and then return back to the CSF-conducting spaces. The study of these pathways and mechanisms has been the subject of intensive study over the past few decades [24,32,40,44,52].

According to a new hypothesis, CSF follows the paraarterial spaces, mixes with the ISF and substances dissolved in it, and is removed from the brain through the paravenous spaces [42]. This pathway is based on the movement of fluid through AQP4 channels located on astrocytic stalks surrounding the parenchymal circulatory network. The second hypothesis states that the outflow of interstitial fluid and solutes occurs along the middle layers of the basement membrane of arterial smooth muscle cells in the direction opposite to the flow of substances in the paravascular pathway [25].

In 2015, a number of researchers suggested that eventually interstitial solutes leave the brain through the meningeal lymphatic vessels flanking the venous sinuses along the sheaths of the cranial and spinal nerves [22,47]. The exchange of solutes between the CSF and the ISF is mainly due to arterial pulsation and is regulated during sleep by the expansion and contraction of the extracellular space of the brain.

Functions of the GS. GS performs a number of functions, the most important of which is currently considered to be "cleansing", that is, the removal of metabolic products, decay, and toxic substances from the central nervous system. In mice with reduced AQP4 function and, accordingly, GC, the clearance of solutes, including mannitol and Aβ, is significantly impaired. In addition, increased glymphatic clearance has been found to be responsible for the decrease in brain lactate levels that accompanies the transition from wakefulness to sleep [42]. Inhibition of glymphatic clearance has been observed in anesthetized mice, in AQP4 deletion treated with acetazolamide, on cistern puncture or head repositioning, resulting in elevated lactate levels in the brain and in the lower cervical lymph nodes [48]. Apart from the clearance, this path is as shown

GS is involved not only in the excretion of metabolites, but also in the distribution of glucose, lipids, amino acids, various growth factors, and neuromodulators in the brain [23]. A decrease or increase in the level of ICP is mainly due to changes in the volume of intracranial contents, primarily blood and CSF. However, as mentioned above, the ISF is capable of migration, so it can be assumed that in the case of an increase in the level of ICP, this mechanism may be part of a compensatory reaction [27,33,54].

Glymphatic dysfunction, characterized by a lack of interstitial clearance of solutes, is a central feature of natural brain aging, as well as a wide segment of CNS diseases, including storage diseases (Alzheimer's, Parkinson's), traumatic brain injury, ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke [37,41,45,51 ,53,61].

Neuroinflammation reduces glymphatic clearance [35,43]. Inadequate expression of defensins (defensins are peptides that are released as part of the immune response to protect the brain from pathogenic microorganisms) [65] leads to the penetration of T-lymphocytes, viruses, and mediators into the CNS, disruption of the integrity of the BBB, and accumulation of Aβ [58]. Modern ideas about the pathogenesis of TBI are based on the identification of primary and secondary factors of brain damage [6,7,15,38]. If the primary damage in TBI is due to the direct impact of mechanical energy on the substance of the brain, then the secondary damage to the brain is an inflammatory reaction developed in the process of evolution, which develops in response to the primary mechanical damage. Such lesions are induced at the time of injury and develop over time, leading to irreversible ischemic damage to cells located in the immediate vicinity of the focus of primary damage (in the penumbra zone); at the same time, initially intact cells are involved in the pathological process [6]. The action of the primary traumatic agent triggers the development of biochemical and immunological reactions that lead to destructive processes.

The action of factors of secondary brain damage leads to a disruption in the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to brain cells and causes their insufficient utilization. There are disorders of cerebral microcirculation, oxygenation and metabolism of neurons, brain edema and its ischemia develop [6,7,14]. Secondary ischemic brain damage, according to different authors, develops in 36.0–42.6% of patients with TBI, the severity of which corresponds to an average degree, and in 81.0–86.4% of patients with severe TBI. In this regard, prevention and timely correction of secondary brain damage factors remain the most important task in the treatment of patients with severe TBI [6,7,38].

Factors contributing to the development of secondary ischemic brain damage are divided into intracranial and extracranial. Intracranial factors include: intracranial hypertension, disorders of cerebral hemodynamics, occlusive hydrocephalus, ischemia, BE, and dislocation syndrome [16]. Many researchers, using the example of clinical cases, proved that after severe TBI, glymphatic outflow significantly decreased [75]. Reduced excretion of neuroinflammation mediators could be a key factor in the accumulation of tau protein aggregates in the damaged area and, consequently, the processes of memorization and storage of information [19,28,34,56,57].

Acute treatment of BE and increased ICP is a common problem in patients with neurological damage. Practical recommendations for the selection and monitoring of therapy for the initial treatment of BE for optimal efficacy and safety are generally not available. Clinicians should be able to select appropriate treatments for initial BE based on available evidence, balancing efficacy and safety [30].

Evidence suggests that hyperosmolar therapy may be beneficial in reducing the increase in ICP or BE in patients with SAH, TBI, IIS, ICH, and HE, although neurological outcomes do not change. Corticosteroids help reduce BE in patients with bacterial meningitis but not in those with ICH. Differences in therapeutic response and safety may exist between HTS and mannitol. The use of these agents in these critical clinical situations requires careful monitoring of side effects. There is an urgent need for high-quality research to better inform clinicians about the best options for individualized care for patients with BE [8].

Despite the fact that BE was visually described more than 2 thousand years ago, understanding its formation and control over it remain an unresolved problem until now. In recent decades, people have learned to measure ICP and control its increase, but ICH is already a complication of BE. The GS is a newly discovered CSF transport system. Through the perivascular space and aquaporin 4 (AQP4) on astrocytes, it promotes the exchange of cerebrospinal fluid and interstitial fluid (ISF), cleanses the brain of metabolic waste, and maintains the stability of the internal environment in the brain. Excessive accumulation of fluid in the brain tissue causes BE, but the GS plays an important role in both the intake and removal of fluid within the brain. Changes in the GS after stroke may be an important factor in BE [9,10,17,64].

In an injured nervous system, obstruction of the CSF circulation pathway causes ventricular enlargement or periventricular leukoencephalopathy. BE after a stroke increases ICP, which, in turn, exacerbates BE, causes functional and structural damage to the brain tissue, leads to epilepsy, paralysis, aphasia, and other symptoms of brain damage. With further aggravation of BE or diffuse progression, herniation of the brain and damage to the brain stem occur, which ultimately leads to brain death. Thus, timely and effective control of BE is useful for improving the symptoms and prognosis of patients with stroke. It was believed that, unlike other parts of the body, the brain lacks lymphatic vessels. However, recent studies have shown that the GS transports metabolic waste andregulates the flow of CSF [3,18,62].

Studies by several authors have shown that the GS can remove excess water, ions, and various solute molecules from brain tissue. On the one hand, the degree of BE decreases due to the outflow of water, ions and proteins from the lymphatic tract. On the other hand, with a decrease in BE, the function of the GS is gradually restored, which, in turn, contributes to the recovery of the central nervous system from BE and other pathological conditions. Recovery of the GS is associated with long-term prognosis in patients with BE after ischemic stroke [3,5].

Imaging studies of the GS and meningeal lymphatics using imaging techniques have confirmed that the meningeal lymphatics are downstream of the glymphatic pathway in humans. Therefore, the dural lymphatics are important pathways for the removal of intracranial solutes and CSF [65].

The discovery of the GS expanded the understanding of brain transport pathways. In addition, the GS is not isolated, as it plays a role in the formation and regression of BE by interacting with other pathways for the transport of solutes and fluids in the CNS. Generally speaking, the study of BE is the study of the transport pathways of solutes and fluids in the CNS. Various molecules, including AQP4, transporters, ion channels, and vascular permeability factors, are believed to be associated with cytotoxic and vasogenic edema after CNS injury [13,17].

Thus, understanding the mechanisms of the GS in BE after stroke and TBI can provide a new goal and opportunities for treatment, thereby contributing to the restoration of neurological function and improving the prognosis of patients after stroke and TBI. Evaluation of GS activity after acute cerebrovascular accident or traumatic brain injury can determine whether its activity correlates with neurological recovery. A new understanding of how behavior, genetic predisposition, drugs affect GS function and how this function is decompensated in various pathologies will lead to the development of new preventive and diagnostic tools and new therapeutic targets. Further study of the pathophysiological and regulatory mechanisms of the GS in BE after stroke will help to find an innovative goal and direction of treatment. More clinical trials are needed to test drugs aimed at regulating the GS.

References:

1. Горбачев В.И., Лихолетова Н.В., Горбачев С.В. Мониторинг внутричерепного давления: настоящее и перспективы (сообщение 1). Политравма. 2013; 4: 69–78.

2. Гудкова В.В., Кимельфельд Е.И., Белов С.Е., Кольцова Е.А., Стаховская Л.В. Отек головного мозга: от истоков описания к современному пониманию процесса. Consilium Medicum. 2021; 23 (2): 131–135.

3. Илифф Дж., Саймон, М. (2019). Предложение CrossTalk: глимфатическая система поддерживает конвективный обмен спинномозговой жидкости и интерстициальной жидкости головного мозга, опосредованный периваскулярным аквапорином-4. Ж. Физиол. 597, 4417–4419.

4. Кондратьев А.Н., Ценципер Л.М. Глимфатическая система мозга: строение и практическая значимость. Анестезиология и реаниматология. 2019;6:72-80.

5. Кресс Б.Т., Илифф, Дж.Дж., Ся М., Ван, М., Вей Х.С., Цеппенфельд, Д. и соавт. (2014). Нарушение путей параваскулярного клиренса в стареющем головном мозге. Анна. Нейрол. 76, 845–86.

6. Крылов В.В., Петриков С.С. Нейрореанимация. Практическое руководство. М: ГЭОТАР-Медиа 2010; 176.

7. Крылов В.В., Талыпов А.Э., Пурас Ю.В. Ефременко С.В. Вторичные факторы повреждений головного мозга при черепно-мозговой травме. Российский медицинский журнал 2009; 3: 23–28.

8. Кук А.М., Морган Джонс Г., Гаврилюк Г.В.Дж. и др. Руководство по неотложному лечению отека головного мозга у пациентов, находящихся в нейрореанимации. Нейрокрит Уход . 2020; 32 (3): с.647-666.

9. Луво, А., Плог, Б.А., Антила, С., Алитало, К., Недергаард, М., и Кипнис, Дж. (2017). Понимание функций и взаимосвязей глимфатической системы и менингеальных лимфатических сосудов.Ж. Клин. Инвестировать. 127, 3210–3219. дои: 10.1172/JCI90603.

10. Местре, Х., Ду, Т., Суини, А.М., Лю, Г., Самсон, А.Дж., Пэн, В., и др. (2020). Приток спинномозговой жидкости вызывает острый ишемический отек тканей. Наука 367: eaax7171.

11. Месхели М.К., Гегешидзе М.М. Отек головного мозга – история вопроса и современные представления. Georgian Medical News. 2007; 142 (1): 83–5.

12. Мичинага С., Кояма, Ю. (2015). Патогенез отека мозга и исследование противоотечных препаратов. Междунар. Ж. Мол. науч. 16, 9949–9956.

13. Остапенко Б.В., Войтенков В.Б., Марченко Н.В., и др. Современные методики мониторинга внутричерепного давления. Медицина экстремальных ситуаций. 2019; 21 (4): 472–85.

14. Петриков С.С., Титова Ю.В., Гусейнова Х.Т. и др. Внутричерепное давление, церебральная перфузия и метаболизм в остром периоде внутричерепного кровоизлияния. Вопр нейрохир 2009; 1: 11–17.

15. Пурас Ю.В., Талыпов А.Э. Влияние артериальной гипотензии в догоспитальном периоде на исход хирургического лечения пострадавших с тяжелой черепно-мозговой травмой. Медицина катастроф 2010; 3: 27–31.

16. Пурас Ю.В., Талыпов А.Э., Петриков С.С., Крылов В.В. Внутричерепные и внечерепные факторы вторичного повреждения мозга. Неотложная медицинская помощь — 1' 2012.стр.56-65.

17. Стокум, Дж. А., Герзанич, В., и Симард, Дж. М. (2016). Молекулярная патофизиология отека головного мозга. Ж. Цереб. Кровоток Метаб. 36, 513–538.

18. Уолкотт, Б.П., Кале, К.Т., и Симард, Ж.М. (2012). Цели нового лечения отека головного мозга. Нейротерапия 9, 65–72.

19. Шольц М., Чинатль Дж., Шедель-Хёпфнер М., Виндольф Дж. (2007). Нейтрофилы и дисфункция гематоэнцефалического барьера после травмы. Мед. Рез. Откр. 27, 401–416.

20. Alquisiras-Burgos I, Peralta-Arrieta I, Alonso-Palomares LA, et al. Neurological Complications Associated with the Blood-Brain Barrier Damage Induced by the Inflammatory Response During SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Mol Neurobiol. 2020: 1–16.

21. Amiry-Moghaddam M, Ottersen OP. The molecular basis of water transport in the brain. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2003;4(12):991-1001.

22. Aspelund A, Antila S, Proulx ST, Karlsen TV, Karaman S, Detmar M, Wiig H, Alitalo K. A dural lymphatic vascular system that drains brain interstitial fluid and macromolecules. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2015;212(7):991-999.

23. Breymann CS. Die lymphatischen Abflusswege von Gehirn und Hypophyse im Mausmodell Inaugural (Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades fur Zahnheilkunde der Medizinischen FakultKt der Georg-August-Universitat zu Gottingen); 2016.

24. Brightman MW, Reese TS. Junctions between intimately apposed cell membranes in the vertebrate brain. Journal of Cell Biology. 1969;40(3):648-677.

25. Carare RO, Bernardes-Silva M, Newman TA, Page AM, Nicoll JA, Perry VH, Weller RO. Solutes, but not cells, drain from the brain parenchyma along basement membranes of capillaries and arteries: significance for cerebral amyloid angiopathy and neuroimmunology. Neuropathology and Applied Neurobiology. 2008;34(2):131-144.

26. Castellanos M, Leira R, Serena J, et al. Plasma metalloproteinase-9 concentration predicts hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke. Stroke. 2003; 34: 40–6.

27. Chikly B, Chikly A. Verbindung von Gehirn und Lymphsystem: neue Erkenntnisse und ihre Bedeutung fur die Therapie. Osteopathische Medizin. 2016; 17(4):4-9. Chiu CC, Liao YE, Yang LY, Wang JY, Tweedie D, Karnati HK, Greig NH, Wang JY. Neuroinflammation in animal models of traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neuroscience Methods. 2016; 272:38-49.

28. Choi HA, Bajgur SS, Jones WH, et al. Quantification of CE After Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. Neurocrit Care. 2016; 25: 64–70.

29. Cook AM, Morgan Jones G, Hawryluk GWJ, Mailloux P., McLaughlin D., Papangelou A., Samuel S., et al. Guidelines for the Acute Treatment of CE in Neurocritical Care Patients. Neurocrit Care. 2020 Jun; 32(3):647-666.

30. Currie S, Saleem N, Straiton JA, et al. Imaging assessment of traumatic brain injury. Postgrad Med J. 2016; 92: 41–50.

31. Damkier HH, Brown PD, Praetorius J. Cerebrospinal fluid secretion by the choroid plexus. Physiological Reviews. 2013; 93(4):1847-1892.

32. Eide PK, Eidsvaag VA, Nagelhus EA, Hansson HA. Cortical astrogliosis and increased perivascular aquaporin-4 in idiopathic intracranial hypertension. Brain Research. 2016; 1644:161-175.

33. Engelhardt B, Carare RO, Bechmann I, Flügel A, Laman JD, Weller RO. Vascular, glial, and lymphatic immune gateways of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathologica. 2016; 132(3):317-338.

34. Erickson MA, Hartvigson PE, Morofuji Y, Owen JB, Butterfield DA, Banks WA. Lipopolysaccharide impairs amyloid beta efflux from brain: altered vascular sequestration, cerebrospinal fluid reabsorption, peripheral clearance and transporter function at the blood-brain barrier. Journal of Neuroinflammation. 2012;9(1):150.

35. Fink KR, Benjert JL, Straiton JA. Imaging of nontraumatic neuroradiology emergencies. Radiol Clin North Am. 2015; 53: 871–90.

36. Gaberel T, Gakuba C, Goulay R, Martinez De Lizarrondo S, Hanouz JL, Emery E, Touze E, Vivien D, Gauberti M. Impaired glymphatic perfusion after strokes revealed by contrast-enhanced MRI: A new target for fibrinolysis? Stroke. 2014;45(10):3092-3096.

37. Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neurotrauma 2007; 24(Suppl 1): S1–S106.

38. Hirzallah MI, Choi HA. The Monitoring of Brain Edema and Intracranial Hypertension. J Neurocrit Care. 2016; 9 (2): 92–104.

39. Hladky SB, Barrand MA. Fluid and ion transfer across the blood-brain and blood-cerebrospinal fluid barriers; a comparative account of mechanisms and roles. Fluids and Barriers of the CNS. 2016;13(19):1-69.

40. Iliff JJ, Chen MJ, Plog BA, Zeppenfeld DM, Soltero M, Yang L, Singh I, Deane R, Nedergaard M. Impairment of glymphatic pathway function promotes tau pathology after traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neuroscience. 2014;34(49):16180-16193.

41. Iliff JJ, Wang M, Liao Y, Plogg BA, Peng W, Gundersen GA, Benveniste H, Vates GE, Deane R, Goldman SA, Nagelhus EA, Nedergaard M. A paravascular pathway facilitates CSF flow through the brain parenchyma and the clearance of interstitial solutes, including amyloid β. Science Translational Medicine. 2012;4(147):147ra111.

42. Kazakos EI, Kountouras J, Polyzos SA, Deretzi G. Novel aspects of defensins'involvement in virus-induced autoimmunity in the central nervous system. Medical Hypotheses. 2017;102(Suppl. C):33-36.

43. Kratzer I, Vasiljevic A, Rey C, Fevre-Montange M, Saunders N, Strazielle N, Ghersi-Egea JF. Complexity and developmental changes in the expression pattern of claudins at the blood-CSI barrier. Histochemistry and Cell Biology. 2012; 138(6):861-879.

44. Kress BT, Iliff JJ, Xia M, Wang M, Wei HS, Zeppenfeld D, Xie L, Kang H, Xu Q, Liew JA, Plog BA, Ding F, Deane R, Nedergaard M. Impairment of paravascular clearance pathways in the aging brain. Annals of Neurology. 2014; 76(6):845-861.

45. Kummer R, Dzialowski I. Imaging of cerebral ischemic edema and neuronal death. Neuroradiology. 2017; 59: 545–53.

46. Louveau A, Smirnov I, Keyes TJ, Eccles JD, Rouhani SJ, Peske JD, Derecki NC, Castle D, Mandell JW, Lee KS, Harris TH, Kipnis J. Structural and functional features of central nervous system lymphatic vessels. Nature. 2015;523(7560):337-341.

47. Lundgaard I, Li B, Xie L, Kang H, Sanggaard S, Haswell JD, Sun W, Goldman S, Blekot S, Nielsen M, Takano T, Deane R, Nedergaard M. Direct neuronal glucose uptake heralds activity-dependent increases in cerebral metabolism. Nature Communications. 2015;6:6807.

48. Lundgaard I, Lu ML, Yang E, Peng W, Mestre H, Hitomi E, Deane R, Nedergaard M. Glymphatic clearance controls state-dependent changes in brain lactate concentration. Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism. 2016;37(6):2112-2124.

49. Nakada T. Virchow-Robin space and aquaporin-4: new insights on an old friend. Croatian Medical Journal. 2014;55(4):328-336.

50. Peng W, Achariyar TM, Li B, Liao Y, Mestre H, Hitomi E, Regan S, Kasper T, Peng S, Ding F, Benveniste H, Nedergaard M, Deane R. Suppression of glymphatic fluid transport in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of Disease. 2016;93:215-225.

51. Praetorius J, Nielsen S. Distribution of sodium transporters and aquaporin-1 in the human choroid plexus. American Journal of Physiology — Cell Physiology. 2006;291(1):59-67.

52.   Ren H, Luo C, Feng Y, Yao X, Shi Z, Liang F, Kang JX, Wan JB, Pei Z, Su H. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids promote amyloid-β clearance from the brain through mediating the function of the GS. Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology Journal. 2017;31(1):282- 293.

53.   Roth C, Stitz H, Roth C, Ferbert A, Deinsberger W, Pahl R, Engel H, Kleffmann J. Craniocervical manual lymphatic drainage and its impact on intracranial pressure — a pilot study. European Journal of Neurology. 2016;23(9):1441-1446.

54.   Serena J, Blanco M, Castellanos M, et al. The prediction of malignant cerebral infarction by molecular brain barrier disruption markers. Stroke. 2005; 36: 1921–6.

55.   Sullan MJ, Asken BM, Jaffee MS, DeKosky ST, Bauer RM. GS disruption as a mediator of brain trauma and chronic traumatic encephalopathy. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2018;84:316-324

56.   Sundman MH, Hall EE, Chen NK. Examining the relationship between head trauma and neurodegenerative disease: A review of epidemiology, pathology and neuroimaging techniques. Journal of Alzheimers Disease and Parkinsonism. 2014;4:137.

57.   Verheggen ICM, Van Boxtel MPJ, Verhey FRJ, Jansen JFA, Backes WH. Interaction between blood-brain barrier and GS in solute clearance. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2018; 90: 26-33.

58.   Verkman AS, Binder DK, Bloch O, Auguste K, Papadopoulos MC. Three distinct roles of aquaporin-4 in brain function revealed by knockout mice. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta. 2006;1758(8):1085-1093.

59.   Verkman AS, Mitra AK. Structure and function of aquaporin water channels. American Journal of Physiology-Renal Physiology. 2000;278(1):F13-28.

60.   Wang M, Ding F, Deng S, Guo X, Wang W, Iliff JJ, Nedergaard M. Focal solute trapping and global glymphatic pathway impairment in a murine model of multiple microinfarcts. Journal of Neuroscience. 2017;37(11):2870-2877.

61.   Wardlaw JM, Benveniste H., Nedergaard M., Zlokovic BV, Mestre H., Lee H., et al. (2020). Perivaskuläre Räume des Gehirns: Anatomie, Physiologie und Pathologie. National Reverend Neurol. 16, 137-153.

62.   Yuhas D. Wie das Gehirn sich selbst reinigt. Natur. 2012 Verfügbar unter: https://www. nature.com/news/how-the-brain-cleans-itself-1.11216. Zugriff am 30. August 2019.

63.   Zhou X, Li Y, Lenahan C, Ou Y, Wang M und He Y (2021) Glymphatisches System im zentralen Nervensystem, eine neue therapeutische Richtung gegen Hirnödem nach Schlaganfall. Vorderseite. Alternde Neurosci. 13:698036.

64.   Zhou Y., Cai J., Zhang W. Gong X., Yan S., Zhang K. et al. (2020). Störung des glymphatischen Weges und mutmaßlicher meningealer Lymphgefäße bei einem alternden Individuum. Anna. Neurol. 87, 357–369